G. R. S. 59] GPB—15,000—22-7-14

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1900-1901
~~191~~ .
(Miscellaneous)

File No. 128

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

*Month*_____

SUBJECT.

Re-instatement of Vattu Muthaddi Krishnappa as Gumasta in the Sri Chamundi wave Ammanavaru temple on the Chamundi Hills.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.

Note

Petition No 1488 dated 25th June 1901, from Krishnappa Vaddu Muthsaddi (otherwise known as Gumastha) of the Sri Chamundeswari Temple at Mysore, appealing against the order of the Mysore Superintendent, dismissing him from service :-

In the Chamundeswari Temple on the Chamundi hills at Mysore, a scale of expenditure is fixed for each Utsavam, Abhishekam &c these

Whenever a devotee desires
to have a particular ಸೇವೆ
ಕ್ಕೆ ಅ performed to the Goddess
he should have to bear the
entire cost thereof. The
items of expenditure fixed
for such ಸೇವೆ ಕ್ಕೆ ಅ are of
two kinds viz (i) The cost
of provisions required for
ಪೂಜೆ ಯ ಕ್ಕೆ ಅ, ಅ ಆ ಸೇವೆ ಯ, ಆ ಪೂಜೆ ಯ
and (ii) the cost of ಅ
ಅ ಪೂಜೆ ಯ or the money-payments
made to each of the temple
servants, in accordance
with the importance of their
Service.

2. The temple being
situated on the hill where
no articles of provisions
of

of any kind are easily procurable, the things required by the devotees for the respective Utsavams Abhishékams &c are usually sold to them for ready cash from the temple stores, at the contract-rates then prevailing; such things being occasionally re-imbursed on payment of the amount thus received from the devotees, for the purpose

3. In September 1892 one Devapuriswara Row and another Sreenivasa Setty had it seems, ಅ ೦ ಅ ಶ್ರೀ- ಕ್ಷ ಕ್ಷ and ಅಶ್ವ ಚ ೦ ಎ ೦ ಶ ೦ ಪ್ರ ೦ ಪ್ರ performed respectively in the temple

Each thing these

Each of them paid to the
temple authorities the total
cost of the respective ½ lb
of ghee and the latter as
usual supplied from the
temple stores the provisions
required for the Sevarthams

4. In November 1892
the late Mysore Inspector
Shimmich reported to these
Hon'ble Palace Controller
Mr. Subbarayer, that these
provisions (worth about
Rs 10 in all) supplied in
advance from the temple stores to
the two devotees, by the Ag:
Sarpathegar Narasappa,
Shanbhogue Krishnappa
Gumasta Krishnappa

* To one man :- Articles of provisions worth about 7 Rs
& to the other :- about 3
Rs 10 —

and Gotla Dasa (all of them being concerned in the affair) were not re-imbursed by them. Head the Ag: Sar Pattingar in one case and Gumastha Krishnappa in the other had received from the two devotees the respective cost of such two visions; but the same was not appropriated for recouping the provisions supplied in advance from the temple stores. The Salaries Controller there upon placed all of them under suspension and wrote a Yadi to Head effect to the late Mysore Superintendent

stating & at the same time that in view to put a stop to such irregularities in future he was of opinion that they might be criminally prosecuted if sufficient evidence therefor were forthcoming.

5. In reply, the Mugpai Superintendent sent the following Yadi :—

" Your order suspending the Ag. Parpathegar Narayappa Shanbhogue Krishnappa Golla Dasa and Gumasta Krishnappa, is approved The records received with your Yadi under reply show (1) that Dwarapathiva was paid to the Ag Parpathegar Narayappa

a certain amount for
ಕಾ ೦ ಕಾ ಶಂ ೦ ಶ್ರೀ ಲ ; that the
latter gave a portion of it viz Rs 4
to Gumasta Krishnappa for
the purpose of purchasing &
receiving the provisions
supplied in advance from
the temple stores for the
said ಶ್ರೀ ಲ ೦ ಫ್ರ ಲ . that
that he (Krishnappa) kept
back the money with him
and did not receive the
provisions ; and (2) that
one Srinivasa setty similarly
paid to the said Gumasta
Rs 12 for ಅಂ ಬಿ ೦ ಶಂ ೦ ಲ ಬಿ
ಶ್ರೀ ಲ ; that the latter dis-
-bursed out of it the amount
ಶಂ ೦ ಫ್ರ ಶ್ರೀ to all the temple
servants and kept the

these

balance with him supplying
the required Jinsis from
the temple to [illegible] ; and that
he did not re-imburse
these articles also - The
records also show the fact
of Krishnappa having admitted
the fault of his in this respect
He may therefore be criminally
prosecuted for "Breach of
Trust and misappropriation
The Magrai Inspector may
be authorized by you to
conduct the prosecution.
He may lodge a complaint
before the magistrate
Bangalore Srinivasa
Setty, Ag Parpatheyar
Narasappa and others
if

necessary, may be cited as witnesses. A competent Vakeel may also be engaged if necessary, to help the Magisterial Inspector. The results of the prosecutions should be reported in due course".

6. On this, the Palace Controller gave orders to the Magisterial Inspector to prosecute them accordingly.

7. But the idea of prosecuting him seems to have been subsequently given up somehow (for what reasons, it is not known, nor are there any records on this subject.)

These

8. Three months after

i e on the 10th March 1893

Mr Sulzberger wrote a note

in English, proposing certain

general arrangements as

regards the Establishments

of certain Mugrai Institutions

under his management-

This note he appears to have

placed personally before

the Mugrai Superintendent

who approved of the proposals

in toto on the very day -

The note so far as this

Case was concerned runs

as follows: —

" Chamundeswari

Temple Mutsaddi Krishnappa

and Shubhagya Krishnappa

to be dismissed. Mutsaddi

cause he had since reverted to his former appointment-

X not Mutsaddi Krishnappa, the present appellant-

Mutsaddi Narasappa to be taken on a trial for one year, at the end of which, his re-instatement will be considered

The place of X Shanbhogue Krishnappa is abolished as it is unnecessary, there being two Mutsaddies and one Shanbhogue already on the establishment"

9. On this note the Amrut Superintendent recorded the following order :—

"Criminal prosecution as was thought of at first is now unnecessary. Dismissal will be sufficient-". "These

"These arrangements are all fair and may be given effect to at once".

Of the 4 persons reported to have been concerned in this case viz (1) Ay Sarkatheyar Narasappa (2) Gulla Dasa 3) Shanbhogie Krishnappa and (4) Mutsaddi Krishnappa the first was re-instated it is not known what became of the second; and the third was dismissed and his place was abolished as noted above; but he was subsequently provided with some other appointment in the same temple 21-

[The Palace Controller's general proposals were to give increases to some & to transfer others from place to place - The abolition of the Shanbhogship in the Chamundi temple place was also one of these proposals]

It is the fourth more — Mutsaddi Krishnappa — that has been since petitioning to this Office, to be re-instated in his appointment, as was done in the case of others. ✻

11. The marginally noted 18 petitions were from time to time received in this Office from the said Mutsaddi Krishnappa, who once in reply was informed by the late Nagrai Superintendent, as he had been dismissed from service he could not be restored to his appointment; and on all the other petitions he was simply referred

to

✻ Dated

(1) 20th July 1893
(2) 25th " "
(3) 24th October "
(4) 13th March 1894
(5) 22nd " "
(6) 10th May "
(7) 1st August "
(8) 20th October "
(9) 16th January 1895
(10) 18th " "
(11) 1st October "
(12) 12th August 1896
(13) 10th February 1897
(14) 26th July "
(15) 18th September "
(16) 15th October "
(17) 23rd November 1898
(18) 15th October 1899

to the previous Endorsements -

12. He has now presented an appeal petition to Government against the said order of the Mysore Superintendent. The Appeal grounds are: —

i That the Vatta Mutsaddi's appointment is a hereditary one, being in the enjoyment of his family for over hundred years.

ii That he possesses Sanads from His ~~late~~ late Highness the Maharaja Krishnaraja Wodeyer Bahadur and others, to show that the appointment is a hereditary one.

iii That his great-grand-father

grandfather and father had served in the same appointment in regular succession and that he had himself put in a term of 14 years, before being removed by the Mugrai Superintendent.

iv That the Mugrai Superintendent removed him on a false complaint and that he did not at all give him an opportunity to represent the circumstances of the case personally; nor did he go through the entire records before passing the order of removal.

v That he had been

-since then repeatedly

petitioning the Mugra

Superintendent, but that

the latter did not consider

his case favourably, though

he promised to do so.

vi That the temple being

situated on the hill, it

has been the practice to advance

to the devotees the required

provisions from the temple

stores for the particular

pooja that they may

wish to be performed, recovering

from them the equivalent cost

thereof.

vii That it has also been

the practice to keep such moneys

in deposit for payment to

the Temple Contractor, when

when the equivalent quantity of provisions was obtained from him for recoupment at the end of the month or even after the festive occasions are over, because the temple servants will be very busy then; and that it is not usual to get the provisions from Mysore and to reimburse the temple stores then and there, because it is not possible to do so.

VIII. That out of the amount intended to be used for recouping the provisions supplied from the temple stores a portion had

had to remain with himself
and the rest with the Ay
Parpathayar Narasimha
temporarily for about a month
because, immediately after
the two ~~[illegible]~~ of 6 x 12 took
place, the car festival came off
and neither he nor the Parpathayar
had therefore time during this interval
to look to the accounts and
then send for the requisite
of provisions that had to
be measured. and that
it was during this interval
the Inspector
inspected the temple and
without knowing or ascertaining
the usual practice in vogue
in the temple made a false
report

owing to
report ~~on account of~~ some
spite he had entertained
towards him.

IX That he had never
kept back his money in view
to misappropriate it. And
that if he really had such
intention, he would not have
shewn his accounts in the
temple accounts and that
the Sarpathagar would have
reported against him to the
higher authorities immedia

X That he had been and
there given his deposition to
his effect in the presence of
the Magisterial Inspector. and
that if had the Magistrate

A copy of which he has
now submitted with his
Additional petn.

The superintendent record has
deposition can hardly be ascertained
the local practice, he would
have come to know the real
truth.

Under these circumstances
the petitioner prays that
he may be re-instated. And
he further begs that should
he be still considered to
have been guilty, his son
may at least be furnished
with the appointment, as it
has been a hereditary one
in his family for upwards
of hundred years.

13. As a precedent he
quotes instances of
the Melkote Temple wherein

Some of the servants were dismissed 1893 on the score of their having been concerned in the theft of the temple properties, but their sons were subsequently provided with the same appointment, held by them prior to dismissal

14. The petitioner also alludes to Govt. order no X. 927-34/17 dated 9th May 1891, regarding the filling up of the hereditary offices, which runs as follows:—

Page 272 of the Revenue Manual.

"In cases like the one under notice where a village Shanbhogue or other official holding

holding a hereditary
Office has to be dismissed
on account of an offence,
it is not proper except in
extreme cases that the family
should be altogether deprived
of their right to the Office
by the unqualified appointment
of a stranger to it. Nor
could such a new nominee
claim a hereditary right
in the Office. The proper course
to pursue in the event of no
divided members with totally
separate interests being
available is to appoint to
the Office a stranger for
a limited period, say 10 or
20 years, with the reversion
of the Office at the end of

must form to the heirs of the dismissed official".

The pay attached to the appointment is Rs 4-4-0 per mensem.

Submitted for orders

ds
30/7/07

Issued to the Petitioner
25/9/07

Extent Bgms
Kh Nos 76-78 1-12-4 177.3
in Mallur village, Mysore Taluk

It has been since found that the service was kept as noted in the margin & attached to the Office, and so such name in the Engagement of the Petitioner though he has been discovered

14-12/09

On a consideration of the case carefully, it appears to me that the offence committed by the Appellant was one which has

been sufficiently punished by
his removal from office for nearly
4 years (from 1893 up to date)
The appointment appears to be
a hereditary one and even now
the appellant seems to be ~~is~~
engaging the Mian land attached
~~to the~~ service - Another Mian
named Narainappa who was
punished for the same offence
along with the appellant
seems to have been restored
to office soon afterwards.
Under all these circumstances
~~I~~ I think the appellant
may now be restored to his
office.

Subd to the Dewan
8/2/02

3365 (m)
8/2/02

4338

26.III.02

order no 1596 dated
May 9th 17-97
10th April 1902 issued to the Palace Controller
[note continued on a
separate sheet-]

Continued

Note for orders

The Palace Controller's Docket No 77 dated 24th April 1902, reporting that as directed in Govt. Go. No 1596 dated 10th May Pt 17-97 April 1902, orders have been issued to the Perpetual Agent of the Chamundeswari Annamanavara Temple Lake Vattu Muthaveddi Krishnappa as Jinsi Gumastha on Rs 7-4-0 a month

2 As regards the questions raised by the

Palace Controller in

the 2nd and the 3rd houses

of the Docket- number supply. —

From the records

of this Office it is observed

after Venkata Rao (referred to in the Palace

was formerly employed Controller's Docket-)

in H. H. the Maharajas

Chattram and Venkat-

he was transferred to

the Chamundeswari Temple

on the removal of Krishnatha

Vattu Muthaddi, and

Venkat- one Shamma

was appointed to look after

the duties in the Chattram

in the place of Venkat- Rao

transferred to the hill

Now that the Vattu Mutuvadi Krishnappa has been reinstated on his appointment in the Chamundeswari Temple, Venkat Rao will have to go back to the Chattram and to take up his permanent appointment there —

Shamanna who was appointed to do the duty in the Chattram in the room of Venkat Rao will be thrown out of employment now, which cannot be helped

This question was also considered at the time of issuing the

orders, restoring Krishnappa

to his appointment, but hardship to the
acting incumbent could not be helped when justice to the
permanent man was under consideration

The restoration of

the Vak. Anantanadi Krishnappa

does not therefore involve

an addition to the Temple

as presumed by the Palace Controller

Establishment, nor are

there any permanent

savings in his Custody

to admit of such an additions

cost.

Submitted to the Dewan

Sd
15/7/02

The Palace Controller
may be informed that
No increase of Establishment
is contemplated, and that the
temporary Peon will have to be
thrown out.

Sd 15/7/02

RD 19.5.02
4523

Noted, certainly
15.VII.02

Note continued :—

The

The above order was communicated to the Palace Controller in Government Dno no 176 dated 23rd July 1902

He now states in his Letter no 61 dated 30th October 1902, that Venkata Rao was transferred from the Maharaja's Chattram to the Chamundi Hill Temple in 1898, on public grounds and that the grounds on which he was transferred from here Chattram remain good even at present. He the Palace Controller is therefore of opinion that it would be better to post Vatter Muthanadi Krishnappa who

who has been restored
to his appointment as
per Government order
(No 1596 dated 10th April 1902)
to the Maharaja's Chattram
on Rs 7*, and he and
Venkata Rao on the Hill

The Palace Controller
further states that by
this arrangement Shamanna
will be deprived of his
appointment for no fault
of his own and suggests
that he may be suitably
provided for elsewhere
in the Mysore Department

The two Government
orders issued on the subject
in April and July 1902
the Palace Controller says
will be carried out on
receipt of a reply to the

* (The pay of Vattu
Muthiddi is
Rs 7-4-0)

his present communication.

Submitted

Js
5/11/02

Subject the Lascar

There is no objection to the Palace Controller posting this man for duty according to his discretion. But I think each man should draw the emoluments of his own Barrawork appt. i.e Krishnappa should draw Rs 7-4-0 and enjoy the means land even though he works in the Khotham and Venkata Rao should draw only Rs 7-0-0 (his Khatgam pay) even though he works on the hill.

Shamanna's leave thrown out cannot be helped The Palace Contr may give him some place when a vacancy occurs. He will be borne in mind by the Superintendent Ck 5/11/02

705-3
206. 4.0 2

0358

All the conclusions that
follow will carry only
the order of $\varepsilon^{1/2}$.
The consideration of
the anomalous ζ,
ζ — even at the limit
of small wavelength
as is privileged by
heat is the order of
$\varepsilon^{1/2}$ in any case

Wrong

2 · III · 03

draft 4b
3/2/03

MUZRAI

~~189~~ 1901

From

THE SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

To

The Palace Controller
Mysore

Dated 27 June 1901.
Despatched
Received
General No. 1426 & 1427
Muzrai F. No 17-97
Enclosure No.

Has the honor to request that the entire records relating to the dismissal of Krishtappa, Vathsa Mutsaddi of the Sri Chamundeswari temple at Mysore, forwarded with this Office Rubkari No. 249 dated 9th December 1892, may be submitted to this Office as the same is required in connection with the Memorial ~~Krishtappa~~ Mutsaddis pending before Government

By order
27/6/01
Dy Secretary

Ans

No 1427
Give an Endt- to the petitioner informing him of the above

Ans
27/6/01



OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date 25-6-07
REG. No. 1488
MUZRAI

ಈ ಸಾಲು ಖಾಲಿಬಿಟ್ಟಿದೆ ಬರೆದಿಲ್ಲ

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಅಕ್ಷರಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಬರೆಯಿರಿ ಮತ್ತು ಅಕ್ಷರಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಬರೆಯಿರಿ —

4 ಈ ಸಾಲುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ಅಕ್ಷರ ಮತ್ತು ಒಂದು ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಇಲ್ಲ ಅವುಗಳನ್ನು ಸೇರಿಸಿ ಬರೆಯಿರಿ —

3 ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವುದು ದೊಡ್ಡದು ಮತ್ತು ಯಾವುದು ಚಿಕ್ಕದು ಅವುಗಳನ್ನು ಪ್ರತ್ಯೇಕಿಸಿ ಬರೆಯಿರಿ —

2 ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಚಿತ್ರಗಳಲ್ಲಿ 476 ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯನ್ನು ಅಡಗಿಸಿಡಲಾಗಿದೆ —

ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವುದು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಬರೆದಿದೆ ಅದರ ಮುಂದೆ ಗುರುತು ಹಾಕಿ —

ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಚಿತ್ರಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವುದು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಬರೆದಿದೆ ಅದರ ಮುಂದೆ ಗುರುತು ಹಾಕಿ —

(2) ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವುದು ದೊಡ್ಡದು ಮತ್ತು ಯಾವುದು ಚಿಕ್ಕದು ಅವುಗಳನ್ನು ಪ್ರತ್ಯೇಕಿಸಿ ಬರೆಯಿರಿ —

3) ಸಾಲಿನ ಮೊದಲು ಮತ್ತು ಕೊನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಇದೆ ಅವುಗಳನ್ನು ಪ್ರತ್ಯೇಕಿಸಿ ಬರೆಯಿರಿ —

4

No 898 మైసూరు సంస్థానదముఖరాయి

కుంపరింయోంతోంయ్ రవరర్సుసూర

కేళ్కోరియుందాం—

మైసూంరు శ్రీచాముండేశ్వరి అమ్మనవ

ర దేవ సంస్థానద్లువట్టు ముక్తద్దికేల సదళ్లి

దదర్శిష్టే ప్రగా- ఇంతాంస్తీర్పుక్కుం

నీ నుచాముండేశ్వరి అమ్మనవ

ర దేవ సంస్థానదల్లి యిద్దనతసిదంకే తిళియు

ఇంద అర్థమగళన్న అందరే (1) దేవపా

ధిత్వ అరశినవరింద కుంపెమాంత్రనిసోల

వేగాగి లిక్విడేషన్ మూరు పేక్షేకార్ నారణప్ప

నవశ్యకే ఇందమొంబలగుప్రకా- లుగ్రాణదళి

నళిన కిత్ఖన్న నారణప్పనింద నినగేయెంతక

ల్లుట్టే నాల్కరూంపాయిగళన్న నిన్నల్లేయిట్ట

కొండు, చినళిన కిత్వంతలల్లమొంకలూ,

(2) యిల్లదొపాధలఅభిషేకక్కిగాచొంగళ్మూరు

శ్రీనివాసశెట్టియెంబువను నిన్నల్లకెందు
మోట్టెరున్నెరడల రూపాయినభవ్యపీఠి-నగదు
బలమాతేమూఁడిద్దచొంకాని నంశమేంబలగ
న్న నిన్నల్లో నిల్లసిస్త్రీంతలసేవనతలులకర్మద
ర్శిగాదేవస్థినదలుస్తూఁదిందచినసిమోంతిని
నదరిచినళగభన్నలుస్తూర్కోభక్తిమూంతల
ల్లవేంశలూ, నఁడా, నీనేబప్పిరువదాంగారిపొర్తి
నింవశిళ్ళలుబందద్దరమీలనీనుఈరీతి
మూంతిద్దర్శిగా నిన్నవిల్లలో'నంబిదేవ్రోంఁడు
గర్శిరదడ్డూవన్నదురువలూల్లగవతిసువ
దులుూంలులతొల్లభోళ్ళుళగాంగాశ్రీమున
ఎ తెరచినల్లప్రీనిప్పుషమూంతఁబోకొం
దుమోందలులతర్ల్రమోంబ్బిద్దద్దన్నకప్పిని
నిన్నన్నళిలనదిందవఁబూమూంతిదల్లుూంకొం
గారుక్కొందులూళిగేలర్తర్కమోంతల్లుబ్బి
రుక్కిదే-ఒల్లగేయిరుపాంగప్పినఁడాకేలన
మోంతినఁబోరిందునీనుబరదుర్కోంతిరల

వదు

ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ದಿನಾಂಕ: 15.11.93.
ಸ್ಥಳ: ಮೈಸೂರು

Mysore Superintendent

ಫಾರ್ಮ 6 ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಸೂಪರ್ಇಂಟೆಂಡೆಂಟರವರ
ಹುಜೂರು ಕಚೇರಿಯಿಂದ.

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ ಸೀತಾರಾಮಯ್ಯ ಚಿಕ್ಕಬೆಳಂತೆಲೆ
ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಶ್ರೀ ಆಂಜನೇಯಸ್ವಾಮಿ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದ ಶ್ರೀ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ
ಇಂಡಾರನ್ಸ್ ಪುಟ. ನಂ.

ನೀವು ಹುಜೂರಿಗೆ ಜಾಹಿರ್ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡ ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮೊದಲ
ನೇ ಮತ್ತು ಕಡೆಯ ನೇ ನಂಬರ್ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು
ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆ - ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಅರಮನೆಗೆ ಕಂಪ್ಲೀಷಾಲ
ರವರ ಬಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿ ಇದೆ.

ಇ ತಾರೀಖು ೨೫ನೇ ಮಾಹೆ ಅಕ್ಟೋಬರ್ ಸನ್ 1894 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ.

ಮೊಕ್ಕಾಂ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

Muzarayi Superintendent.

MGCPB—23-7 94—1,000



కాం 3-11-92 రోజుల్లో మళ్ళీ మీ అందరినీ ప్రార్థించే
తిమద్భిమతే రాష్ట్రీ మీయుచ్చ నవరమగ 30 వరుకివయ్యే
చిన్న శ్రీ క్షేత్రం ముండ్లోశలదివస్తా నదగుమాంసే తీల్చుది
చాంషివనమాండువరాంతి కస్టింయినల్లారు వత్తాల్ని
నశే నాళి

గతలాగస్టుమాంసీలుందాలూంచి సిద్ధిశీ
లుదిందాంనస్టుంమూలగూంవపలిశుంఅందరై-గశ
ఆక్కిత్తిబరు నేకాంత్రిమినవరి విగూనిన్నెక్రీగిచ్చి
స్నానమచేశురునీవాంధ్రనదమీంబలుగులూం
రిందాలుమీళ్ళుబందిరుతీల్చుదరవిలీవాంది
గిమాండ్రిలులుక్కిలిబరుచదు

గిలిచాంతీలాళ్ళాక్కీంచిత్తైదీళ్ళాలులతక్కీమహాం
ఒనగళ్ళుర్దివ నీవాంధ్రనడ్డువాస్టీనేంతవీం
లూంనేడిత్రీదర్శులుదక్కిగిల్లుఒనగళ్ళుచేంచాము
బలగుదాంక్రిత్తోండూలుళ్ళుపూంలుకొట్టిరూం
ల్రావులుబలగునన్నెళ్ళిబరలిల్లాలుబుశీర్వదనం
డేశాస్త్రిగళ్ళవశక్తిబందిరంత్రీనన్నవశక్తిడ్డాబర
లులనారీరవశక్తిబందద్రునసిగిశిర్వాయదూడి

నుంతవర్ణాకొని దీవస్తానలుస్తాణిందాంలుంతిల్లగ

నమూడుమాండిరువంటి నులుందరి

లాందుదివ్రలక్ష్మి-చిల్ల వేంళ్ళింగమే తుప్పి
శింశే శలాచ్చు ౨ శేద్రు శేశేరు
లులన్నుచిల్లరిసాంమాంత్రినసిగే
చెంవశివిలాగా
రడనైదివ్ర చూంరిసాంమాంగ్వేం
శశిశే
మూంనసిశిచెన్నేశే శివిలాగా

లుంచినుగళ్ళన
నదున్నునాంలుపుట్టె
శాంబ్రుల్లుంబు
ఖేద నంచుండేశా
స్రైగళ్ళులువరగ
వశత్తే రేట్రైనంత

నుమూంరుశదివ్రదమాలిగ్గిల్లదాంనసమాంత
ఖచ్చుబ్రేండుబేల్లాలక్ష్మివేండువుగావేంళ్ళే
ఉమణాత్రేయుంజిగ్గుశ్చిరుతుప్పాలుంచినను
గళ్ళన్నశేల్లి పాంరుఖత్వేగాంరశేది నుబ్రేంశేల్ల
వరగళ్ళదాంఖలేయిందాంవ్రస్తాణాశ్రీ-బ్రతిర్ధాంరి
ది-నాళ్ళిదివ్రవాంమ్రబందుంబిడుత్తా బ్రులిం
లుమానశాంగిబ్రేంచినుగళ్ళుకొట్టిరి
లు వరలుశేళ్ళదశాంరణాలుంవలేఖదళ్ళిలాం
లుంచినుకొడు గళ్ళుఖచ్చుంబరియలి
వాంరుమ్రబందుబ్రతిర్మాంజువాంళ్ళలాం
ఖళ్ళాంనవల రిండియిలల్లా-రవరంబువేం

శ్రీ భక్తవత్సలమనోభీష్టవరదాయకా
శ్రీ అందరి కోరికలు సంపూర్ణముగా తీర్చు-శ్రీ
లలిత్యాత్మకమైన బృందమువలె ధరణిపూజింపదగు
లలిత్యాత్మకమైన సంగతి ననినీ శిల్పాయి
లలిత సామాన్యపాండుత్వ్యకారత్వలక్షణాత్మక
రక్షణ్యుడివయ్యేవి

— నీవు — దీవసాధ్యమవుతుంది నీవరసన
రాత్రి శంకుమంత్రమునే నీవు విచారించుటనిమిత్తి
18 రూపాయలనుండవశ్యంబులుగ పాండురోగ
కారణాత్మకమైన వరుకిరి తడిగిరిలాంగియి
శ్రీ అందరూ నానుకిరిగదు శ్రీ అవరుచలుత్వ
లిలువరవశ్యత్వరణాకృత్యై — చూస్తినదశిధినలు
ఛీ సంగవరదినస్థితిసంభవంలు లుంటజూలం
పాలుప్రకారవిన్యాస్యైగభమురిండిదులయిద్దా
అభివాంఛలువారుచెమురిండదు లలిద్దా
అదృష్టనగదిలిఖదాంఖలినుండచేశ —
డిభిండివశాంతిదేవ అరశినవరశీవిగాంగిదేవ
నదవుర్గాదిందా దినవర్తిశీలులాక్షి సంగ
లసామాన్యపాండుత్వ్యకారత్వలక్షణాత్మకుడి

ఆలయమునకు- ఈ ప్రకారము నవరాత్రులు ఉత్సవములు
రిక్తహస్తంబులు లేకుండునట్లు చేసిరి కుశలవులు
అక్షయపాత్ర ప్రకారము తమ్ముడు ఈలవేసి- ఈ సా
మగ్రియు దీవరి గుంపుస్వామిని చనిరి వండిలు
లువడిల్లగా- ఎవరిందాందరు గుంపులు విస్తు
గారమ్మలయితే- లక్ష్మీనన్నవశక్తి నాళ్ళు
పాటలు పాడువారలువంతే రమ్మనువశక్తి- మన
లుమూరుదివ్యముంచికొని అడ్డాకీసుతో
లలాంచినట్టుకుంటారాతలువాంపినాను మనగా
వాళ్ళు కొడుతనిందు చేస్తిచిలాంనాళ్ళు
పాటలుగన్నన్నుచూశక్తిస్తాండె- ఈదన్నలు
వాంగచిక్కందర్రు రాంచెరుమండుతినే- చూరి
రుగుంపులు వచ్చెగాంరు నారద్వాజినవశక్తి
లులదే———

ఇనైదు——చింగ్యూందిందాంశిత్రికొళ్ళి
లుల్లిరువశేమళ్ళతినివాంనిశ్యాళాంఱువ
ను నవరాత్రులుల్లిచేస్తాక్తి- చిందువేం
యంత్రమాంచలాభిశేకక్తివుత్సవనడుచేతో
చేల్లా నన్నవశక్తి రుస్తిరడుగుంపాంలతో చూ
లులదక్కొనిదేవస్థానమునుండిందాం

అన్న = శీరువుట్టు - అభ్యంశీరుకు వచ్చాయిదన్న
నాంనుతిగదు పాంలు పిల్లిగార్రగే పిల్లిక్కుట్టిలు
లుత్తిని - చిళుంరున్నిలడూలుంపాంయుత్తీరి అ
ళిత్తీకవన్నైరిగినగదు బల్లూడిళ్ళిందద్దుపాంకా
ంశిలుళిత్తీకవ్వుత్తవత్తీనిశీకాందంత్తిన్నుగ
దుఖరిదిపైరిరిగిక్కుళ్ళిరువదల్లుట్టి - వుగ్గాణ్
రిందాం క్కుట్టిలుయిద్దు సాంళుణ్ణిలత్తిదినన్న
డాంచలదే - లుంసాంళుణ్ణిలుల్లూమీంళ్ళు
ఇత్తీక్కతీళ్ళుపైరిరిగాంన్నా మింబులుయిరాండీయిందాం
లుయిదువలిగుపైరిరిగిళతిరిగాంండిల్లూ - లుంగ
శ్రాందరిచ్ఛతిరిగాన్నిద్దు క్కిసి - లుంశాంబంకుళు
బలగూడిళుంతీక్కులుయిందాంబందద్దువాం
లుయిల్లుపాద్దులిందాంనగదిళుత్తిసించిళుంళు
మాండలిల్లూ
ళనిదు - శ్రీమన్నిధారాంచెబరుద్దురవరిసి
నుమూరు మూరు శింగళ్ళతీళ్ళ గిమురీక్ష్మవాదాంన
గుంట్టివారునదళీవిసిలుయిత్తీళ్ళుపాంళు
పాంలుపత్తినారణ్ణీనవరవాశత్తీబంకు-
రినగదుంబల్లూడిళుండతత్తీవలిగినగదు
వచిల్లువరీకాండి లుయిద్దారిలుయిద గిచ్చి

నవుస్తూనాదిందాంపుల్లి అంనత్తెగిపొక్కశీరుల
క్కి-అదత్తెచిక్కాందలవాంచమీక్రీలుంన్నోసిపం
శవాంగిలోల్లిరుశీరిక్కేడ్రిందివు-అదన్నతోచ్చా
తీరడిన్నొమాచ్చోచరదిచ్చే-వాంమ్మడిపోందిన్న
స్త్రీరింగిమిత్తేచ్చతీరమాండ్రిలుబరబపుదు-ని
మినాండిదరిపిల్లుత్తేనే-లుంపుడ్డినప్రేరిన
నత్తెగిపొక్కనుబంధిరువదిల్లా———
లుంబుల్లి వ్రేంది పిల్లిదక్రీపీలుతుల్లి
ధిసినాంనుబరిశిద్దు శరియూంనిధ్రీలుం
తలూం- ఈబుల్లి త్రీన్నీవి లుంతలున్నది.
ఫొన్నిన్నీ శతలక్ష్మబరు 31 సికాలు సాంయింతోలనం
మ్మరుంబర్రిడిపోందివస్తూనదవుస్తూనాదుడి
తివండ్రువాంగ్రిశీంమిబంధంచిన్నుళ్ళిగితలూం
రాత్రిరిలవతభ్రీనిమ్మక్రీసిశీల్లుల్లులదినోండి
లుబరీల్లిత్తే-ఇన్నారియలుంగ్రిగబిలువాం
శీలుశీంమిబంధిరువదిల్లుబి-శీడమిచినిన్నుగ
మునాంనబుశీంమిబంధిరుత్తే-మ్మలినీవు
బుర్రిలులుంవక్రీపిలుతెలునిందాం
తిల్లాకివుస్తూనాదిందాంమిచ్చుమండితెలుత్తే

గతలో కొంచెం గుర్తిలాగా ఉన్నది కానీ
గీసిచ్చిన పత్రం దొరికినా మాట్లాడి నాను ఖచ్చితంగా
కొండా నేడు వీడియో చూసి 2 నిమిషాల్లో 21 నిమిషాల్లో
ఎన్నో శీర్షాలు ఇచ్చారు చాలా లక్ష్మీ నిందాంశాలు
గన్నవరం స్వారుభమంటూ లుదివారం నుండి 22 నిమిషాల్లో
అందుకే మీరు ఇచ్చిన శీర్షాలు ఇచ్చిన
23 నిమిషాల్లో ఇచ్చిన వాంశాలకి ఎన్ని శీర్షాలు
కి ఎంతసేపు చదివారో - అందరికీ లక్ష్మీ
చూసి / నిమిషాల్లో వాంశాలకి శీర్షిక
వారం 2 ఫ్లాష్ చూసి వారం ఎంతసేపు
ఎన్ని
ఎందుకో 11 =
లుదివారం 2 నిమిషాల్లో ఇచ్చారు కదా శీర్షిక 1 =
లువారం
వారం వాంశాలకి శీర్షిక 1. ఇ
ఎలుచిత్రాన్ని కొబట్టి వాంశాలకి శీర్షిక 1 లుం
చూసి లుదివారం వారాల్లో ఎంతసేపు
ఇచ్చిన వాంశాలకి ఎంతసేపు లుదివారం
లుం వారం ఇచ్చిన వాంశాలకి శీర్షిక
చూసి
శమ్మల్ని స్వారుభమంటూ రూంచర్ నాను నోడు వాంట్
ఎలా ఉన్నది అండు బండి ది - డిజిటల్ కాలేజీ నుండి లక్ష్మీ
ద్వారా బందర్ లో 1 శీర్షిక ఇచ్చి చూసి వారం
డివేలు చేసుకొని నుంచర్ లుదివారం చూసి -

ఎర్ర్ర్

ಶ್ರೀ ಧರ್ಮಸ್ಥಳ ಮಂಜುನಾಥ ಸ್ವಾಮಿ ಸಹಾಯ

ಶ್ರೀ

ಕಾಲಿಗೆ ಬಿದ್ದು ಕಣ್ಣೀರಿಟ್ಟು ಕೈಮುಗಿದು ಬೇಡಿಕೊಂಡಳು. ಆಗ ಅವಳ ಗಂಡ ಅವಳನ್ನು ಎಬ್ಬಿಸಿ ಸಮಾಧಾನ ಮಾಡಿ ಹೇಳಿದ ಮಾತು ಅವಳಿಗೆ ಸಿಡಿಲು ಬಡಿದಂತಾಯಿತು. ಅವಳು ಅಲ್ಲಿಂದ ಎದ್ದು ಹೊರಟು ಹೋಗಿ ಒಂದು ಮರದ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಕುಳಿತು ಯೋಚಿಸಿದಳು. ಅವಳ ಗಂಡ ಹೇಳಿದ ಮಾತು ಅವಳ ಕಿವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಗುಯ್‌ಗುಡುತ್ತಿತ್ತು. ಅವಳು ಮನಸ್ಸಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಹೀಗೆ ಯೋಚಿಸಿದಳು — ಈ ಗಂಡಸರು ಹೆಣ್ಣಿನ ಮನಸ್ಸನ್ನು ಅರ್ಥಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದು ಎಷ್ಟು ಕಷ್ಟ. ಅವರು ಹೆಣ್ಣಿನ ಮನಸ್ಸನ್ನು ಅರ್ಥಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಪ್ರಯತ್ನಿಸುವುದೂ ಇಲ್ಲ. ಅವರಿಗೆ ಅದು ಅಗತ್ಯವೂ ಇಲ್ಲ. ಅವರಿಗೆ ಬೇಕಾಗಿರುವುದು ಹೆಣ್ಣಿನ ದೇಹ ಮಾತ್ರ. ಅದನ್ನು ಪಡೆಯಲು ಅವರು ಏನು ಬೇಕಾದರೂ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಅವರಿಗೆ ಹೆಣ್ಣಿನ ಮನಸ್ಸಿನ ಬೆಲೆ ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date
REG. No. 31
MUZRAI BRANCH

190/

1707

Refers

Rs

From

The Palace Controller,
Mysore

To

The Deputy Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Dated

Despatched 6 July 1901

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No. one file

I have requested in his No: 1426 dated 27th June 1901, had the honor to forward herewith the records relating to the documents of Krishnappa, Vaittu Mudaliar of the Sri Chamundeswari temple.

H. Narasimmayya
Palace Controller

GR

Yes comp MA

Muzrai

Read again :—

The late Muzrai Superintendent's Rukkari No. 249 dated the 9th December 1892 and his subsequent order of the 10th March 1893, dismissing Krishtappa, Vattu Mutsaddi (the designation being since changed to "Gumasta") of the Sri Chamundeswari Ammanavaru temple on the Chamundi Hill a Muzrai Institution — under the management of the Palace Controller at Mysore.

And,

Petitions presented by Krishnappa from time to time (the last being no 1488 dated 25th June 1901) appealing against

the

temple; that the ~
provisions &c required
for the said Sévarthams
were, as usual, supplied
from the Temple stores
at the then prevailing
Contract rates, but that
however, the cost of ~
such articles was not
re-imbursed to the
Temple accounts by the
Gumastas within a ~
reasonable time; and ~
that on this score, the
Palace Controller ~
Mr. Subrayer recommended
the dismissal of Krishnappa
which was approved of
by the Murrai Suphdt.
in his order of the 10th
March 1893 read above.
The said Krishnappa
has ever since been
petitioning Govt. ~
appealing

ins foregoing
of the Muzrai Superintendent
the Chief appeal grounds
being that the cost of the
provisions thus supplied
to the devotees in question
had to remain with him
for about a month on
account of the heavy
work he had to do
during the car festival
that came off in the
meantime; that the
Muzrai Superintendent
did not give him an
opportunity to fully
represent the circumstances
of the case and that
the appointment was a
hereditary one.

2 On a consideration
of the case carefully, the
Govt. observe that the
offence committed by the
Appellant was one which
has been sufficiently
punished by his removal

from Office for nearly 9 years (from 1893 up to date). The appointment appears to be a hereditary one and even now the Petitioner appears to be in enjoyment of the Inam land attached to the Service.

Under these circumstances the Govt are pleased to restore the Appellant Kristnappa to his Office.

The Palace Controller is requested to give effect to these orders and report the same for the information of Government

(Dewan's Order)

Rs Dy: Secretary
Muzrai Deptt

The Palace Controller
Mysore, returning the
Records.

No 15-97

Endorsement to Petr stating that orders re-instating him in his Office has been sent to the Palace Controller

By order
Rs Dy: Secy:

No 1597 d/10/4/02

Muz r 17-97

Endorsement granted to Krishtappa late Gumastah of the Sri Chamundeswari Amma-navaru temple on the Chamundi Hill at Mysore with refee to his petition Registered No 1488, dated 25th June 1901 appealing against the order of the late Muzrai Superintendent dismissing him from his office.

——"——

In reply, the petitioner is informed that the punishment inflicted on him by way of removal from his office for nearly 9 years from 1893 up to ~~that~~ date is deemed sufficient for the offence committed by him, and that the Government have now pleased to issue orders to the Palace Controller to reinstate the

petitioner in his former office

By order,

11/4/12

Dep Secretary

Mun: Schools



From V.N.Narasimmiyengar,Esq.,
Palace Controller,
Mysore.

To L.Anantasami Rao,Esq.,
Deputy Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
Muzroi Department,
Bangalore.

Dated 22nd. April 1902.

Despatched 24th April 1902

Received

General No. 77
No.

Enclosure No.

Sir,

Adverting to Government Pro.No. 1596 dated 10th.April 1902 Muz F No.17-97 I have the honor to inform you that orders have been issued to the Par-patyagar of the Chamundésvari temple to take Vat Mutsaddi Krishnappa as Ji-nasi Gumásta on ₹7-4-0 a month.

2. In the revised Tastik Patti of the temple only Gumasta Venkatarow's name is entered.

3. It is presumed that the restora-tion of the Vat Mutsaddi involves an addition to the Temple Establishment. If I am right, the amount of his pay viz.,₹7-4-0, will be added to the to-tal of the temple. Please favour me with the instructions of Government

on

on the point.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Palace Controller.

Dfl

Nuzzar.

Read :—

Government Pro no 1596 dated 10th April 1902 mg Fr 17-97 directing the re-installment of Vattu mutsaddi Krishnappa as Gumasta in the Sri Chamundeswari Ammanavaru Temple on the Chamundi Hills

And Read :—

Letter no. 77 Dy 22nd April 1902, from the Palace Controller, Mysore reporting with reference to Govt Pro: No 1596 mg Fr 17-97 read above, that Vattu mutsaddi Krishnappa has been taken as Jinsi Gumasta on Rs 7-4-0 a month, and ~~in that connection~~, stating that the restoration of Krishnappa involves an addition to the temple establishment as in the revised Pasdik Patti of the temple, ~~and~~ Gumasta Venkatarao is entered. name ~~for the post~~

Vide no. 1758 3.3.03

and requesting instructions
in the matter of
~~to~~ the amount
of Rs 7-4-0, the pay of
the Vaktu Muktsadr being
added to the total of
the temple.

Order thereon:—

No 176 dt 23/7/1902.

From the records of
of this office, it is observed
that Venkata Rao referred
to in the Palace Controller's
letter read above, was formerly
employed in H.H. the Maharaja's
Chathram & that he was
transferred to the Sri Chamundeswari
temple on the removal of
Krishnappa, Vaktu Muktsadr
~~and that~~ one Shamanna having
been appointed to look after
the duties in the Chathram

(Dft. endt. dtd.)

in the place of Venkata Rao transferred to the Hill.

2. Now that Krishnappa Vathar Sumbsaddi has been re-instated in his office in the Sri Chamundeswari temple, Venkata Rao will have to revert to the Chattram to take up his permanent appointment there. and

who is was a permanent employee in the H. H. the Maharajas Chattram

Shamanna who has been temporarily doing duty in the Chattram during the absence of Venkata Rao, will have to be thrown out of employment, which cannot be helped. No increase of Establishment was contemplated when the re-instatement order of Krishnappa was passed.

3. The Palace Supdt. is informed that no increase of Establishment in the Sri Chamundeswari temple is contemplated and that the temporary man, Shamanna will have to be thrown out

1902

FROM

V.N.Narasimmiyengar,Esq.,
Palace Controller,
Mysore.

To

L.Anantasami Row,Esq
Deputy Secretary to the
Govt.of Mysore,
Muzrai Department,
Bangalore.



31/10/0

Submitted with Refers

21/10/02

Dated 29th October 1902.

Despatched 30th October 1902.

Received

General No. 61
No.

Enclosure No.

Sir,

With advertence to Government order No.1596 dated 10th April 1902, Muz.F 17-97 anent the restoration of Vat Mutsaddi Krishnappa to his appointment in the Chamundi Hill Temple, I have the honor to state that the dismissal of Krishnappa was ordered by the late Controll in 1893, while the revision of the Temple Establishment took place in 1899, when the place of Vat Mutsaddi was abolished. In the meantime, Venkatarow was transferred on — public grounds in 1898 from the Maharaja's Chatram to the Chamundi Hill on Rs 7-4-0.

2. If Krishnappa is restored as per Government Order, it would be better in my opinion to post him to the Maha-

Govt.Proceedings No.1596 dated 10th April 1902.
Muz.F 17-97

Govt.Order No.176 dated 23rd July 1902.
Muz.F 17-97

Vide No.1 58 3.3.03

Maharaja's Chatram on Rs 7/-, and leave Venkatarow on the Hill. The grounds on which he was transferred from the Chatram remain good even at present.

3. This arrangement will throw out Shamanna from the Maharaja's Chatram. He was a Collar on Rs 3/-, in the Maharaja's Sanskrit College, and Mr.Subbarayer posted him in Krishnappa's stead to Chamundi Hill, and he was transferred to the Chatram in 1898 vice Venkatarow, as above. When the Sanskrit College Establishment was revised in 1900, the place of Collar was abolished. Thus, Shamanna will be deprived of his appointment for no fault of his own, and I think it is hard lines on him, and would beg to suggest that he may be suitably provided for elsewhere in the Muzroi Department.

(Vide margin) 4. The orders under reference will be carried out on receiving your reply to this communication.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Palace Controller.

N.S.
Compd

Early (Dfc copies with Govt)

Mysore.

Read :—

The following Govt Pro: anent the restoration of Vat Mutsaddi Krishnappa to his appointment in the Chamundi Hill temple at Mysore

i) Govt Pro: no 1596 Mus 7 17-97 dy 10th April 1902

& ii) Govt order no 176 Mus 7 17-97 dy 23rd July 1902

And,

Letter no 61 dy 30th October 1902 from the Palace Controller Mysore, reporting that carrying out the

above orders of Govt.,
the temporary incumbent would be
Shanmanna will be
deprived of his appointment,
in suggesting his
being suitably provided
for elsewhere in the
Revenue Department
in saying that the
Govt. orders referred to -
above would be carried
out on receipt of a
reply to the present -
Communication.

Other Minutes :- No 1758 dt 3/3/1903.
Dimo F 1777

~~with reference~~
~~to the above~~ the Govt -
will

will be glad if the Palace Controller will carry out their orders conveyed in their Pro: read above

(2) ~~the Govt:~~ It must be observed that the consideration of Shamanna's being thrown out ~~is not of such importance~~ does not ~~as to~~ justify the Palace Controller's holding the order of Govt: in abeyance

(3) Action

Action should now be
taken immediately
~~as per Pro: of Govt~~
~~read above~~ and
the result reported
by Govt ~~in the Treasury~~
~~Department~~.

Dewan's order 3/3/03
By Secretary
3/3/03 Treas: Deptt
To
The Palace Controller
Ajmere



Mehal

The letter may be recorded

16/12/03

1903.

From V. N. Narasimmiyengar, Esquire,

Palace Controller,

M y s o r e .

To

L. Anantasami Rao, Esquire,
Deputy Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
Muzroi Department,
B a n g a l o r e .

Dated 13th M a r c h 1903.

Despatched 14/3

Received

General.No. 120
No.

Enclosure No. Nil

Sir,

Adverting to Govt.Proceedings No:—1758—— dated 3rd March 1903, I Muz.F.17-97 have the honor to inform you that orders have been issued restoring Vat Mutsaddi Krishnappa to his appointment in the Chamundi Hill temple on ₹7-4-0 and transferring Gumasta Venkata Row back to the Maharaja's Chatram as Shanubhog on ₹7/-

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

V N Narasimmiyengar

Palace Controller.

BMH.

Read:— Letter no 120
dated 13th March 1903
from the Palace Controller
Mysore reporting that
in pursuance of Govt Pro.
no 175-8 dy 3rd March 1903
Inuz F 17-97
~~1903~~ Vat Mutsaddi
Krishnappa ~~has been~~
~~restored~~ to his appoint-
ment in the Chamundi
Hill temple on Rs 7-4-0
and ~~in consequence~~ transferring
Gumastah Venkat Row
~~transferred~~ back to the
Maharajah's Chattram
as Shanbhog on Rs 7-

orders have been
issued restoring

Order thereon - no

Recorded

18/3/03

Deputy Secretary
Muzrai Dept

No 1925-6 - dy 20/3/03 -
Inuz F. 17-97

To
The Palace Controller
Mysore

Comptroller, Mysore Govt
for information
18/3/03